







Year	Project	Cause	Costs (m US\$)
2000	Metro Teagu, Korea	Collapse	24
2000	TAV Bologna-Florence, Italy	Collapse	12
2002	Taiwan High Speed Railway	Collapse	30
2002	SOCATAP Paris, France	Collapse	8
2003	Shanghai Metro, China	Collapse	60
2004	Singapore Metro	Collapse	tba
	Total		> 134































RISK	KEY-RISK-DRIVER	BASE	RISK ALLOCATION			
		LINE	CONTRACTOR	OWNER		
GEOTECHNICAL						
	Clay layer thickness	5 m	<= 5 m	> 5 m		
Settlements	Clay layer compressibility	6	>=6	< 6		
Obstacles (old piles)	Number of piles	100 piles	<= 100 piles	> 100 piles		
Building pit bottom collapse	Groundwater level	GL –1 m	Below GL – 1 m	Above GL – 1 m		
	Thickness intermediate sandlayer	10 m	<= 10 m	> 10 m		
Pile driving problems	Cone resistamce bearing sandlayer	35 MPa	<= 35 MPa	> 35 Mpa		
Drainage volume	Additional costs	kEuro 25	<= kEuro 25	> kEuro 25		
OTHER						
Polluted soil	Pollution category	Category 2	Category 1 or 2	Category 3 or mor		
Traffic intensity	Number of cars per day	50000	<=50000	>50000		

































Shield tunne	eld tunnels in the Netherlands				
Project	TBM	L	Water	D	u _{max}
		[km]	way	[m]	[kPa]
Heinenoord	Slurry	2x1.0	Yes	8.3	400
Botlek	EPB	2x1.8	Yes	9.7	300
Green Heart	Slurry	7.1	Yes	14.9	400
Pannerdens kanaal	Slurry	2x1.9	Yes	9.7	250
Western Scheldt	stern Scheldt Slurry 2		Yes	11.4	600
Sophia	a Slurry 2x4.2 Yes		Yes	9.7	300
Amsterdam metro	Slurry	2x3.8	Yes	6.5	350
Rotterdam metro	Slurry	2x2.4	Yes	6.5	300
Hubertusduin	Slurry	2x1.5	No	9.7	200
					Dall









































Design phase						
						New Street
	rank	рі	obability	'	Effect in time	Effect in money
 Weekly a open constructive technical 					[month]	[m€]
meeting between client and contractor	1	rare (<0.1%)			< 1	0.5
	2	unlikely	(2%)		1 - 3	0.5 - 2.5
Every 2 weeks a jointed site visit	3	The is a chance are, but not very large (%)		are, e (%)	3 - 6	2.5 - 5
• All risk where ranked	4	there is a real chance(25%)		6 – 12	5-10	
	5	almost certainly(10(50%)		>12	> 10	
 Only discussions about top ranking risks 	effect	1	2	3	4	5
negligible	prob		_			
negigible	1	1	2	3	4	5
large	2	2	4	6	8	10
critical	3	3	6	9	12	15
	4	4	8	12	16	20
 No technical audits only process audits 	5	5	10	15	20	25
					Del	ltares









































































